RANSMITTAL LETTER Docket No. General - Patent Pending) LASP:130US Verner KNEBEL Application No. Filing Date Examiner Customer No. Group Art Unit Confirmation No. 10/656,615 06/15/2006 Thong O. Nguyen 24041 2872 3004 Title: SCANNING MICROSCOPE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS: Transmitted herewith is: Substitute Specification -- clean version (37 CFR 1.125(c) Submission of English Language Version of IPER under 35 USC 371(c)(5) w/ copy of IPER Acknowledgement Postcard Asheets of drawings in the above identified application. No additional fee is required. A check in the amount of is attached The Director is hereby authorized to charge and credit Deposit Account No. 50-0822 as described below. Charge the amount of X Credit any overpayment. Charge any additional fee required. Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached. WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038. Dated: August 7, 2006 Howard M. Ellis Registration No. 25.856 SIMPSON & SIMPSON, PLLC hereby certify that this correspondence is being 5555 Main Street deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope Wilijamsville, New York, 14221 addressed to the "Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 716-626-1564 - Telephone 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)] on 716-626-0366 - Facsimile August 7, 2006

P16A/REV04

Amy Burrows

Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence

CC:

OS 6.0 DUA TES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s):

Werner Knebel

Serial No.:

10/565,615

Filing Date:

June 15, 2006

Title:

SCANNING MICROSCOPE

Attorney Ref:

LASP:130US

Group Art Unit:

2872

Examiner:

Thong Q. Nguyen

Certificate of Mailing by First Class Mail

I certify that this document is being deposited on August 7, 2006 with sufficient postage with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail under 37 C.F.R. §1.8 and is addressed to the Commissioner for

atents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

### SUBMISSION OF ENGLISH LANGUAGE VERSION OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT UNDER 35 U.S.C. 371(c)(5)

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir

Applicant is submitting herewith an English language version of the IPER issued by the International Bureau (WIPO) on June 8, 2006 for International Application PCT/EP2004/051606 from which the caption US application was filed.

The publications cited in the IPER were furnished to the Office with applicant's IDS, filed January 24, 2006.

Please consider the IPER during examination of this application.

Respectfully submitted

Attorney of Record Registration No. 25 856

August 7, 2006

PCT/FP2004/051606 PATENT COOPERATION TREA RECEIVED the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU JUL 1 7 2005

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF COPIES O	OF TRANSMI	TTAL	& :	IMPSO	) [
-----------------------------	------------	------	-----	-------	-----

OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (CHAPTER LOR CHAPTER II OF THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY)

(PCT Rules 44bis 3(c) and 72 2)

REICHERT, Werner F Leica Microsystems AC mane Department / Ctzlar Cornorate Patents + Tr Ernst-Leitz-Strasse 17:37 35578 Wetzler ALLEMAGNE Eing.:

14. Juni 2006

Konzernstelle Patente + Marken FRIST:

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

Applicant's or agent's file reference F 0710 WO International application No. PCT/EP2004/051606

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 08 June 2006 (08.06.2006)

> International filing date (day/month/year) 26 July 2004 (26.07.2004)

Applicant

LEICA MICROSYSTEMS HEIDELBERG GMRH et al

1. Transmittal of the translation to the applicant.

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I).

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II).

2. Transmittal of the copy of the translation to the designated or elected Offices.

The International Bureau notifies the applicant that copies of that translation have been transmitted to the following designated or elected Offices requiring such translation:

The following designated or elected Offices, having waived the requirement for such a transmittal at this time, will receive copies of that translation from the International Bureau only upon their request:

AE, AG, AL, AM, AP, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DK, DM, DZ, EA, EC, EE, EG, EP, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NI, NO, NZ, OA, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW

3. Reminder regarding translation into (one of) the official language(s) of the elected Office(s).

The applicant is reminded that, where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II).

It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned within the applicable time limit (Rule 74.1). See Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide for further details.

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Ellen Moyse

Facsimile No.+41 22 740 14 35 Form PCT/IB/338 (January 2004)

Copied from 106566 simile North 22

#### PATENT COOPERATION TREAT

## PCT

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

FOR FURTHER ACTION

International filing date (day/month/year)

26 July 2004 (26.07.2004)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

See item 4 below

Priority date (day/month/year)

26 July 2003 (26.07.2003)

	relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237
Appli LEIC	cant A MICROSYSTEMS HEIDELBERG GMBH
1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 $bis$ . I(a).
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 7 sheets, including this cover sheet.
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.
3.	This report contains indications relating to the following items:
	Box No. I Basis of the report

Certain observations on the international application The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but 4. not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).

Certain defects in the international application

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial

applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial

	Date of issuance of this report 29 May 2006 (29.05.2006)
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer Ellen Moyse
Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35	Telephone No. +41 22 338 89 75

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

Applicant's or agent's file reference

Box No. II

Box No. III

Box No. IV

Box No. V

Box No. VI

Box No. VII

Box No. VIII

Priority

applicability

Lack of unity of invention

Certain documents cited

F 0710 WO International application No. PCT/EP2004/051606

### PATÉNT COOPERATION TREATY

From th INTER!		NAL SEARCHI	G AUTHOR	ITY		Ta <sub>D</sub>	
То:						PCT PCT	
						RITTEN OPINION OF THE IONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	
				-		(PCT Rule 43bis.1)	
					Date of mailing	*	
L					(day/month/year)		
Applica	nt's or a	gent's file referen	ce		FOR FURTHER	ACTION	
E 0	710	WO				See paragraph 2 below	
		plication No.		International filing date	(day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT	/EP2	2004/051	606	26.07.2004		26.07.2003	
		tent Classification	(IPC) or both	national classification an	d IPC		
Applica LE I		4ICROSYS	TEMS HE	CIDELBERG GM	вн	-	
I.	This o	pinion contains in	dications relat	ing to the following items	:		
	$\square$	Box No. I	Basis of the	-			
	×	Box No. II		opinion			
	H		Priority				
	H	Box No. III			gard to novelty, inventi	ve step and industrial applicability	
	片	Box No. IV		y of invention	F		
		Box No. V	applicability	; citations and explanation	1(a)(i) with regard to n is supporting such state	ovelty, inventive step or industrial ment	
	H	Box No. VI	Certain docu	ments cited			
	$\vdash$	Box No. VII	Certain defe	cts in the international app	olication		
	Ш	Box No. VIII	Certain obse	rvations on the internation	al application		
2.	FURT	HER ACTION					
	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IEEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Sacreting Authority will not be a considered.						
	If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailting of Form PCTI/SAZZO to before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whicheve expires later.						
	For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.						
3.	3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.						
Name ar	nd mailie	ng address of the	SA/EP		Authorized officer		
		-					

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/051606

Box	k No. I	Basis of this opinion
1.	With	n regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was L unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
		Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With	n regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed ntion, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a.	type of material
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	ь.	format of material
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c.	time of filing/furnishing
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed to gether with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Addi	ttional comments:
		,
		- 35
		· ·

	and the second s					
	. WRITTEN OPINION OF THE		International application No.			
	INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		PCT/EP2004/051606			
Box No. II	Priority					
1. The	following document has not yet been furnished:					
	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed	d (Rule 43bis.1 and	1 66.7(a)).			
	translation of the earlier application whose priority has been of					
Const the as	Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established the assumption that the relevant date in the claimed priority date.					
□ (Rule	opinion has been established as if no priority had been claim es 43bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the ant date.					
3. Additional	observations, if necessary;					
	·					
			•			
			•			
			•			

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. II) (January 2004)

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/051606

Bo	x No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement	
1.	Stateme		
l	Nov	relty (N) Claims 7, 10	YES
		Claims 1-6, 8, 9, 11-16	_ NO
	Inve	entive step (IS) Claims	YES
		Claims 1-16	_ NO
	Indu	estrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-16	YES
		Claims	_ NO
2.	Citation	ns and explanations:	
	Refe	erence is made to the following documents from the	
	inte	ernational search report:	
1	D1:	WO 02/14811 A (DRABENSTEDT ALEXANDER; MG MICROSCOPE	
		GMBH (DE))21 February 2002 (2002-02-21)	
H-	D2:	DE 100 04 191 A (AXON INSTR INC) 7 December 2000	
		(2000-12-07)	
+	D3:	DE 198 59 314 A (ZEISS CARL JENA GMBH) 29 June 2000	
		(2000-06-29)	
t	D4:	US 2002/097485 A1 (AOSHIMA MIKIO) 25 July 2002	
		(2002-07-25)	
F	D5:	DE 202 06 153 U (LEICA MICROSYSTEMS) 27 June 2002	
		(2002-06-27)	
1-	D6:	WO 03/012516 A (BIRK HOLGER; LEICA MICROSYSTEMS	
,		(DE)) 13 February 2003 (2003-02-13)	
1	D7:	US 2003/133189 A1 (ENGELHARDT JOHANN ET AL) 17 July	
1	D.O.	2003 (2003-07-17)	
	D9:	EP 0 495 930 A (UNIV MINNESOTA) 29 July 1992 (1992-07-29)	
		07-237	
	1.1	The present application does not meet the	
		requirements of PCT Article 33(1) because the	
		subject-matter of claim 1 is not novel within the	
		meaning of PCT Article 33(2).	

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability: citations and explanations supporting such statement

Document D1 (see, in particular, figure 3 and page 9, and also figure 1 and the rest of the description) discloses (the references parentheses relate to said document): Scanning microscope (see abstract, with page 5, lines 4-10) with at least one light source (9), defining an illumination beam path (see 10) and with a spectral detector (6) for detection of the detection light (2) emerging from the sample, which detector defines a detection beam path and comprises a spectrally splitting component (3) the spectrally splitting component separating the illumination and detection beam paths (see page 9, lines 13-15, page

D1 thus discloses all the features of claim 1.

7, second paragraph, figure 3).

Independently of the above, each of documents D2-D7 and D9 (see the corresponding passages specified in the search report) considered by themselves also discloses all the features of claim 1 and therefore anticipates the novelty thereof (PCT Article 33(2)).

NB: It is noted with regard to the disclosure of D4,D5 and D7 that the term "spectral detector" need not be understood restrictively, rather any detector comes under this since no detector covers an infinitely large spectral range (PCT Article 6). It is noted with regard to the disclosure of D2, figure 8, that every prism is a spectrally splitting component; therefore, claim 1 of the application

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

, a, a 1, >

International application No. PCT/EP2004/051606

Box No. V
Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis I(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability citations and explanations supporting such statement

encompasses any prism, inter alia because it does not define that the component within the claimed device <u>acts</u> as a spectrally splitting component. (PCT Article 6).

1.2 Dependent claims 2-6, 8,9,11-16 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the PCT requirements for novelty, see documents D1-D7 and D9 and the corresponding passages specified in the search report (PCT Article 33(3)).

The features defined in claim 7 are not inventive because they represent to a person skilled in the art obvious variations for the passage of a light beam through a prism, cf. D4 for instance. Claim 10 is not inventive because an arrangement with these additional features is known from D2, figure 7 (PCT Article 33(3)).